

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,403	12/30/2003	Earl L. Hatley	H0004635	7547	
128 75	90 12/30/2005		EXAMINER		
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			PATTERSON, MARC A		
P O BOX 2245	A ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			1772		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/748,403	HATLEY, EARL L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc A. Patterson	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and a decidence of					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• • •	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	,					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	h				
	or the cortined depice her recent					
Amarkov and A						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1 8, 10 17, 19 20 and 22 24 as being unpatentable over Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,605,344 B1) in view of Moritani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,946), of record on page 3 of the previous Action, is withdrawn.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 9 and 18 as being unpatentable over Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,605,344 B1) in view of Moritani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,946) and further in view of Reading (U.S. Patent No. 3,038,811), of record on page 4 of the previous Action, is withdrawn.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 8, 10 17 and 19 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,605,344 B1) in view of Moritani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,946).

With regard to Claims 1-5, 13-15 and 22-23, Ohba et al discloses a packaged produce product (corn; column 10, lines 65-67; column 11, lines 1-4) packaged in a bag or

pouch (column 11, lines 4-6) formed from a film comprising a first layer comprising polyamide (gas barrier film comprising nylon 6; column 9, lines 5-20) and a second layer comprising a layer of nylon 6,66 which is used to seal the package (heat seal layer comprising nylon 6,66, therefore nylon 6; column 10, lines 45-56), therefore comprising a nylon 6 moiety and a nylon 66 moiety; the package is therefore sealed via the nylon 6,66 layer; the film is formed by dry lamination (column 10, line 31). Ohba et al fail to disclose a film that is formed by coextrusion.

Moritani et al teach the interchangeable use of dry lamination or coextrusion in the making of a multilayered packaging for the purpose of obtaining a film made either with or without tie layers (column 9, lines 58 – 63). One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have recognized the advantage of providing for the coextrusion of Moritani et al in Ohba et al, which comprises a film, depending on the desired ability to use or not use tie layers in the end product.

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Applicant's invention was made to have provided for coextrusion in Ohba et al in order to obtain

a film made either with or without tie layers as taught by Moritani et al.

With regard to Claims 10 and 19, Ohba et al discloses a seal layer comprising nylon 6,66, as stated above, and therefore discloses a seal layer having a seal strength of at least 700 grams as it is the claimed seal layer.

With regard to Claims 11 - 12, 20 and 21, Ohba et al disclose the sealing of a single polyamide film to itself to produce the package (envelope sealing; column 10, lines 59 - 61) and the sealing of two films that are overlapped (column 10, lines 43 - 47).

With regard to Claims 6-8 and 16-17, the thickness of the polyamide film disclosed by Ohba et al is $30 \mu m$ ($0.1-50 \mu m$; column 6, lines 61-63).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,403

Art Unit: 1772

5. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,605,344 B1) in view of Moritani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,946) and further in view of Reading (U.S. Patent No. 3,038,811).

Page 4

Ohba et al and Moritani et al disclose a package for produce, therefore food, as discussed above. The package is used for the boiling of the food (column 1, lines 11 – 15 of Ohba et al). With regard to Claims 9 and 18, Ohba et al and Moritani et al fail to disclose a package comprising perforations.

Reading teaches the making of perforations (column 2, lines 24 - 29) in a package for food (column 1, lines 8 - 16) which is used for the boiling of the food (column 2, lines 11 - 17) for the purpose of obtaining a package that releases pressure that develops (column 2, lines 24 - 29). One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have recognized the advantage of providing for the perforations of Reading in Ohba et al and Moritani et al, which is a package for food, depending on the desired release of pressure of the end product.

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have provided for perforations in Ohba et al and Moritani et al in order to obtain good adhesion to obtain a package that releases pressure that develops as taught by Reading.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1 – 8, 10 – 17, 19 – 20 and 22 – 24 as being unpatentable over Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,605,344 B1) in view of Moritani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,946) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 9

Application/Control Number: 10/748,403 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

and 18 as being unpatentable over Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,605,344 B1) in view of Moritani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,946) and further in view of Reading (U.S. Patent No. 3,038,811), of record in the previous Action, have been carefully considered and have been found to be persuasive. The rejections are therefore withdrawn. The new 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1 – 8, 10 – 17, 19 – 20 and 22 – 24 as being unpatentable over Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,605,344 B1) in view of Moritani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,946) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 9 and 18 as being unpatentable over Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,605,344 B1) in view of Moritani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,946) and further in view of Reading (U.S. Patent No. 3,038,811) above are directed to amended Claims 1 – 23.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,403 Page 6

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Muc Patterson, PhD. Examiner
Art Unit 1772